School: Neil Cummins Elementary School

Year: 2021-22

School Local Control & Accountability Plan

School Profile

Neil Cummins Elementary School exemplifies how a small organization can accomplish meaningful goals through teamwork. The synergy of talented and dedicated staff members, bright, involved and supportive parents, and energetic and valued children, for whom the importance of school is a focus, keep Neil Cummins united, resilient, and upward bound.

We are one of two elementary schools in the District, serving roughly 510 students in Kindergarten through fifth grade. Neil Cummins has 22 general education classrooms and several additional classrooms to support the arts and specialized learning instruction. The additional classrooms include the following: a learning center, intervention services room, music room, art room, occupational therapy room, speech and language room, a school library, and a gymnasium. We support a school garden and cultivate the school garden program.

Neil Cummins is devoted to delivering an academic program focused on the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). In our classrooms, we strive for student-centered reading and writing instruction with Lucy Calkins Readers and Writers Workshop and a balanced literacy framework. Our Cognitively Guided Instruction in math supports student development as mathematicians, as outlined in the eight Standards for Mathematical Practice. Neil Cummins teachers implement workshop-model instruction and regularly conduct one-on-one and small-group student conferences. In reading, Neil Cummins teachers use research-based strategies to determine students' independent and instructional reading levels and create strategy groups for targeted instruction in ELA and mathematics. Teachers use formative and summative assessments to identify students who need intervention and additional support. Our goal is for teachers to regularly practice and improve the art of conferring with students independently and in small groups, taking anecdotal notes, collecting work samples, and collecting data to inform instruction.

Due to generous parent support, we provide an array of educational experiences at Neil Cummins. In each classroom, students use technology as learning tools (1:1 iPads in K-2, 1:1 Chromebooks in grades 3-5). In science, teachers provide students with hands-on experiences built upon the Next Generation Science Standards, which nurture an appreciation for the inquiry process. A companion to our Science curriculum is our implementation of Project Lead the Way to support design and problem-solving skills with technology and robotics. Neil Cummins students enjoy music instruction provided weekly by its music teacher. Weekly art lessons with an art teacher provide imaginative pieces of work and opportunities for students to explore a variety of media. Students, staff, and parents actively access our school library, which is continually growing as a result of the PTO Annual Book Fair and Read-a-Thon. During physical education, our credentialed physical education teachers have ample equipment for student use and provide stimulating and engaging physical education lessons for all grades. SPARK, our districtwide education foundation, provides funding for a full-time credentialed school counselor, as well as support for the arts, science, and technology integration. We are fortunate to have fantastic parent involvement at Neil Cummins.

When asked if parents would recommend Neil Cummins School on the annual Local Control Accountability Parent Survey, 83% identified their recommendation at a 9 or 10 on a 1-10 point scale (10 being the highest). This high level of positive regard is generated by recognition of our strong commitment to the: 1) achievement, personal growth, and happiness of every student; 2) collaborative parent-staff relationships; 3) motivated, high-performing students; 4) an effective standards-based curriculum; and 5) by school spirit and commonly held values.

CAASPP Results (All Students)

English Language Arts/Literacy

					Overall Partici	pation for All S	itudents					
	# of	f Students Enro	lled	# o	f Students Tes	ted	# of S	tudents with S	Scores	% of En	rolled Student	s Tested
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	117	94	92	114	91	91	114	91	91	97.4	96.8	98.9
Grade 4	105	108	101	96	107	98	96	107	98	91.4	99.1	97
Grade 5	87	105	105	86	104	102	86	104	102	98.9	99	97.1
All Grades	309	307	298	296	302	291	296	302	291	95.8	98.4	97.7

^{*} The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

						Overall Ach	ievement fo	or All Stude	nts						
	Me	ean Scale Sc	ore	% Sta	andard Exce	eded	%	Standard IV	let	% Sta	ndard Near	ly Met	% St	andard Not	Met
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	2468.1	2478.2	2520.1	42.11	50.55	69.23	28.07	23.08	20.88	14.04	15.38	7.69	15.79	10.99	2.20
Grade 4	2535.0	2543.1	2539.0	57.29	62.62	58.16	21.88	19.63	20.41	10.42	12.15	7.14	10.42	5.61	14.29
Grade 5	2575.6	2553.7	2573.5	50.00	42.31	54.90	31.40	31.73	27.45	8.14	13.46	8.82	10.47	12.50	8.82
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	49.32	51.99	60.48	27.03	24.83	23.02	11.15	13.58	7.90	12.50	9.60	8.59

	Demo	nstrating under	Reading standing of lite	rary and non-fi	ctional texts							
% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard Grade Level												
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19			
Grade 3	42.11	48.35	62.64	39.47	41.76	35.16	18.42	9.89	2.20			
Grade 4	52.08	55.14	56.12	38.54	40.19	33.67	9.38	4.67	10.20			
Grade 5 53.49 45.19 53.92 36.05 40.38 33.33 10.47 14.42 12.75												
All Grades	48.65	49.67	57.39	38.18	40.73	34.02	13.18	9.60	8.59			

		Producin	Writing g clear and pur	poseful writing								
% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard												
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19			
Grade 3	42.98	43.96	57.14	45.61	42.86	38.46	11.40	13.19	4.40			
Grade 4	55.21	52.83	52.04	33.33	40.57	36.73	11.46	6.60	11.22			
Grade 5	56.98	52.88	61.76	40.70	36.54	28.43	2.33	10.58	9.80			
All Grades	51.01	50.17	57.04	40.20	39.87	34.36	8.78	9.97	8.59			

		Demonstrat	Listening ing effective co		kills								
	% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard Grade Level												
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19				
Grade 3	35.09	39.56	47.25	52.63	52.75	49.45	12.28	7.69	3.30				
Grade 4	48.96	41.12	50.00	42.71	53.27	43.88	8.33	5.61	6.12				
Grade 5	34.88	28.85	35.29	59.30	57.69	56.86	5.81	13.46	7.84				
All Grades	39.53 36.42 43.99 51.35 54.64 50.17 9.12 8.94 5.84												

		Investigating, a	Research/Inq nalyzing, and p	uiry resenting inforn	nation							
% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard Grade Level												
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19			
Grade 3	40.35	46.15	60.44	47.37	42.86	35.16	12.28	10.99	4.40			
Grade 4	50.00	56.07	55.10	44.79	33.64	36.73	5.21	10.28	8.16			
Grade 5	59.30	50.00	54.90	32.56	37.50	35.29	8.14	12.50	9.80			
All Grades	48.99	50.99	56.70	42.23	37.75	35.74	8.78	11.26	7.56			

CAASPP Results (All Students)

Mathematics

					Overall Partici	pation for All S	Students					
	# of	f Students Enro	lled	# o	f Students Tes	ted	# of S	tudents with S	Scores	% of En	rolled Student	s Tested
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	117	94	92	115	92	91	115	92	91	98.3	97.9	98.9
Grade 4	105	108	101	97	106	98	97	106	98	92.4	98.1	97
Grade 5	87	105	106	87	104	104	87	104	104	100	99	98.1
All Grades	309	307	299	299	302	293	299	302	293	96.8	98.4	98

^{*} The "% of Enrolled Students Tested" showing in this table is not the same as "Participation Rate" for federal accountability purposes.

						Overall Ach	ievement fo	or All Stude	nts						
	Me	an Scale Sc	ore	% Sta	andard Exce	eded	%	Standard IV	let	% Sta	ndard Nearl	y Met	% St	andard Not	Met
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19
Grade 3	2464.4	2480.7	2512.3	30.43	38.04	61.54	39.13	39.13	20.88	18.26	15.22	12.09	12.17	7.61	5.49
Grade 4	2524.9	2538.5	2548.8	37.11	50.94	51.02	37.11	29.25	30.61	20.62	15.09	16.33	5.15	4.72	2.04
Grade 5	2566.0	2547.5	2562.3	43.68	40.38	45.19	26.44	24.04	27.88	20.69	22.12	21.15	9.20	13.46	5.77
All Grades	N/A	N/A	N/A	36.45	43.38	52.22	34.78	30.46	26.62	19.73	17.55	16.72	9.03	8.61	4.44

			Concepts & Proc hematical conce	edures epts and proced	ures							
% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard Grade Level												
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19			
Grade 3	48.70	56.52	72.53	33.91	31.52	19.78	17.39	11.96	7.69			
Grade 4	46.39	66.04	63.27	41.24	23.58	27.55	12.37	10.38	9.18			
Grade 5	56.32	47.12	50.96	33.33	34.62	34.62	10.34	18.27	14.42			
All Grades	50.17	56.62	61.77	36.12	29.80	27.65	13.71	13.58	10.58			

U	sing appropriat		•	ing/Data Analys real world and r		roblems						
% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard												
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19			
Grade 3	40.87	46.74	65.93	46.96	45.65	26.37	12.17	7.61	7.69			
Grade 4	51.55	55.66	55.10	41.24	37.74	38.78	7.22	6.60	6.12			
Grade 5 49.43 41.35 46.15 40.23 44.23 45.19 10.34 14.42 8.65												
All Grades	46.82	48.01	55.29	43.14	42.38	37.20	10.03	9.60	7.51			

	Den		mmunicating R lity to support r		onclusions							
% Above Standard % At or Near Standard % Below Standard Grade Level												
Grade Level	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19	16-17	17-18	18-19			
Grade 3	36.52	44.57	65.93	52.17	46.74	30.77	11.30	8.70	3.30			
Grade 4	48.45	59.43	60.20	43.30	32.08	31.63	8.25	8.49	8.16			
Grade 5 37.21 38.46 41.35 48.84 41.35 50.96 13.95 20.19 7.69												
All Grades	H Grades 40.60 47.68 55.29 48.32 39.74 38.23 11.07 12.58 6.48											

			Amulianhi-	What will be different / imp	proved for students?	Annual
Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
Performance Goal #1: Close or narrow the ed	quity gap for student grou	ps (English Learner, students with c	lisabilities, BIPC	OC, and socioeconomically disadva	ntaged).	
	Equity audits:			Define and implement a multi-		
(2) Implementation of	Discipline	Narrow the equity gap in terms	English	tiered system of support for	LCFF Concentration	
Common Core State	Attendance	of access and achievement.	Language	intervention in ELA and	Grant	
Standards	Awards/recognition		Learners	mathematics for all grade levels	Title II	
		On state testing, 75% of students		with a focus on serving	SPARK	
(3) Parental	2019 v 2021 CAASPP	in target groups (English	Students with	underrepresented subgroups.	General Fund	
Involvement	Subgroup Data (LCMSD)	Language Learners, Students with	Disabilities			
	Low Socioeconomic	Disabilities, Hispanic/Latino, and		Increase targeted outreach,		
(4) Student	Status	socioeconomically	Hispanic/	parent education, and		
Achievement	ELA Achievement - 49%	disadvantaged) will meet or	Latino	community connectedness.		
	Math Achievement -	exceed standards.		Create systems and structures to		
(5) Student	34%		Socio-	strategically communicate		
Engagement			economically	through different mediums,		
	English Language		Dis-	specifically for underrepresented		
(6) School Climate	Learner		advantaged	subgroups. ELCC, DELAC, SPARK,		
	ELA Achievement -		_	multicultural events, and SSC.		
(7) Course Access	30%/53%					
	Math Achievement -			Regularly engage staff in		
(8) Other Student	16%/47%			common assessment (math and		
Outcomes				F/P), CAASPP, and/or ELA and		
	Hispanic/Latino			math conference anecdotal data		
	ELA Achievement -			analyses with their respective		
	55%/71%			grade levels/departments. Use		
	Math Achievement -			these data to generate specific		
	39%/66%			next steps for students below		
				grade level expectations and		
	Students with			underrepresented subgroups.		
	Disabilities					
	ELA Achievement -			Further professional		
	44%/64%			development in (Columbia)		
	Math Achievement -			Readers and Writers Workshop,		
	41%/64%			Cognitively Guided Instruction in		

			Amplicable	What will be different / imp	roved for students?	Annual
Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
	LCMSD Local Elementary Literacy Assessments Spring 2021Assessment (students at/above grade level expectations): K: 30% 1: 40% 2: 61% 3: 65% 4: 65% 5: 61% Note: This is for all LCMSD students. In 2021-22 these data will be disaggregated by subgroup Math Common Assessments Trimester 2; 2021 percentage of students at mastery level (advanced or proficient) K: 85.3% 1: 76.7% 2: 78.8% 3: 39.9% 4: 56% 5: 57% Note: This is for all students. In 2021-22 these data will be disaggregated by			math, Next Generation Science Standards (NGSS), English Language Development, and racial bias with an overlay of equity and how this translates to classroom practice. Conferring and strategy groups in reading, writing, and math. Further translation options for in-person, Zoom, written, and website content. Use technology tools and partnerships as applicable. Ongoing equity professional development (pop topics at every staff meeting and full staff meeting workshops once every 6 weeks). Implement equity audits.		

Priorities Metric Description of Subgoal Pupil Subgroup(s) Actions & Services expenditures a	S? Annual	proved for students?	What will be different / imp	Annliashla			
Parental Engagement: LCAP Survey Neil Cummins (subgroup only data): Parent volunteerism (subgroups): 40% have volunteered on campus or through PTO/A or SPARK Foundation Neil Cummins (subgroup only data): My child feels a part of	and Analysis of	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	Actions & Services		Description of Subgoal		
LCAP Survey Neil Cummins (subgroup only data): Parent volunteerism (subgroups): 40% have volunteered on campus or through PTO/A or SPARK Foundation Neil Cummins (subgroup only data): My child feels a part of						subgroup	
community 92% agree/strongly agree; 60% of parents are satisfied with their child's academic growth this year;						Parental Engagement: LCAP Survey Neil Cummins (subgroup only data): Parent volunteerism (subgroups): 40% have volunteered on campus or through PTO/A or SPARK Foundation Neil Cummins (subgroup only data): My child feels a part of the classroom community 92% agree/strongly agree; 60% of parents are satisfied with their child's academic growth this year;	
48% of parents are satisfied with their child's social-emotional growth this year						satisfied with their child's social-emotional	

			Applicable	What will be different / imp	proved for students?	Annual
Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
		ent in mathematics and English lan			students with a focus on	narrowing the
achievement gap for s	ubgroups through best-pr	actices in personalized learning an	d a multi-tiered	system of supports.		
(2) Implementation of			All	Create and refine a guaranteed	LCFF Concentration	
Common Core State	2019 v 2021 CAASPP	State Testing:		and viable curriculum for all	Grant Funding	
Standards	Data (Neil Cummins)	All grades will meet or exceed		grade levels in literacy,	Title II	
		growth targets established by		mathematics, and science. Grade		
(4) Student	3rd grade	similar school mean gain scaled		level teams will be supported by	General Fund	
Achievement	ELA Achievement -	score.		internal experts and staff		
	90%/84%			developers as needed.		
(8) Other Student	Math Achievement -	Local Benchmarks (F/P and math				
Outcomes	82%/92%	common assessments):		Further professional		
		Students at/above grade level		development in (Columbia)		
	4th grade	expectations on Fountas and		Readers and Writers Workshop,		
	ELA Achievement -	Pinnell and math common		Cognitively Guided Instruction in		
	79%/81%	assessments will be at or above		math, Next Generation Science		
	Math Achievement -	the percentage met/exceeding		Standards (NGSS), English		
	82%/84%	standards on CAASPP.		Language Development, and		
				racial bias with an overlay of		
	5th grade	100% of classroom teachers will		equity and personalized learning		
	ELA Achievement -	embed professional learning (in		for all students.		
	82%/88%	the specific district focus areas of				
	Math Achievement -	reading or math) in their annual		Each trimester, engage staff in		
	73%/74%	professional goals.		common assessment, CAASPP,		
				and/or ELA and math conference		
	LCMSD Local			anecdotal data analyses with		
	Elementary Literacy			their respective grade		
	Assessments			levels/departments. Use these		
	Spring 2021 Assessment			data to generate specific next		
	(students at/above			steps for students		
	grade level			at/above/below grade level		
	expectations):			expectations. Conferring and		
				strategy groups in reading,		
	K: 30%			writing, and math.		

Priorities Metric Description of Subgoal Pupil Subgroup(s) Actions & Services expenditures and Analy			Amplicable	What will be different / imp	proved for students?	Annual
2: 61% Create and refine common		Description of Subgoal		Actions & Services	expenditures and	update: Analysis of Progress
4: 55% 5: 61% LCMSD Local Math Common Assessments Trimester 2; 2021 percentage of students at mastery level (advanced or proficient) K: 85.3% 2: 78.8% 3: 39.9% 4: 55% 5	2: 61% 3: 65% 4: 65% 5: 61% LCMSD Local Math Common Assessments Trimester 2; 2021 percentage of students at mastery level (advanced or proficient) K: 85.3% 1: 76.7% 2: 78.8% 3: 39.9% 4: 56% 5: 57% LCAP Surveys Student responses - my teacher meets with me one time per week to discuss my: Writing: Neil Cummins (31%) Reading: Neil Cummins (27%) Math: Neil Cummins (44%) Parent responses - teacher provides differentiated learning opportunities: Neil Cummins: 79%			assessments informed by standards-based curriculum maps. Calibrate Fountas and Pinnell Benchmark Assessment System administration. Common assessment data will be stored and analyzed via Illuminate, an online data warehouse. Define and implement a multitiered system of support for intervention in ELA and mathematics for all grade levels. Identify teacher representatives for each grade level to serve on the LCMSD Curriculum Council, which discusses curriculum (articulation between grade levels and curriculum mapping), professional development, and		

	Identified Need and Metric Description		Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / improved for students?		Annual
Related State Priorities		Description of Subgoal		Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
	response - provides differentiated learning opportunities: 85%					
	Collaborative Inquiry Visits (CIV) with district administrative team to collect data and observe instructional practices as modeled and implemented with Reader's and Writer's workshop and Cognitively Guided Instruction in math					

		Identified Need and Metric Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / imp	proved for students?	Annual update: Analysis of Progress
Related State Priorities				Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	
Performance Goal #3		ellness nrogram will he maintaine	l and improved	, which includes social-emotional l	earning campus and stude	ent safety
	a well-rounded course of s			, which includes social emotional i	cuming, cumpus una scaac	int surety,
(1) Basic Services			All	LCMSD staff have received		
(1) Busic Services	Local Survey:	Implement a comprehensive	/	several years of mindfulness	Learning Loss Mitigation	
(5) Student	2021 LCAP Survey	wellness program that will be		training and push-in lessons via	Funds	
Engagement	Zozi zer ir barvey	maintained and improved, which		counselors and/or staff	Fund 35	
	91% staff report	includes social-emotional		developers. The District has	General Fund	
(6) School Climate	working in a collegial	learning, campus and student		identified a 20% Wellness	SPARK Education	
(-,	and professional	safety, growth mindset, and a		Counselor who is charged with	Foundation	
(7) Course Access	environment	well-rounded course of study.		defining, implementing, and		
(7) 604/36 7/66633		,		supporting common mindfulness		
	67% of staff report	Implement Universal Mental		tools from these trainings that		
	LCMSD provides a broad			will be used in all classrooms.		
	course of study			That way, students will have a		
	,	Implement systems and		predictable set of tools that is		
	92% of staff report that	structures to meet or exceed the		not teacher dependent.		
	LCMSD provides a safe	COVID-19 32-Point Safety		·		
	environment for	Guidance outlined by the Marin		Defined classroom-based		
	students	County Department of Public		lessons, involvement in class		
		Health.		placement, small		
	84% of parents report			group/individual therapy,		
	their child is connected	Safely operating schools for in		executive functioning,		
	to adults in their	person instruction based on local		drug/alcohol/nicotine education,		
	classroom	and State health criteria.		suicide awareness and		
				prevention, conflict resolution,		
	59% of parents report	Maximize percentage of students		growth mindset, and self		
	their child is connected	feeling safe and connected to		regulation.		
	to adults on campus	school.				
				LCMSD went through major		
	85% of parents rate			modernization and school		
	LCMSD's emergency			construction projects over the		
	preparedness as			past ten years. Now that		
	good/excellent			projects are complete, it is time		

			Annliaghla	What will be different / imp	roved for students?	Annual
Related State Priorities	Identified Need and Metric	Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
	92% of parents report their child is emotionally safe at school 96% of students report their teachers know them well 90% of elementary students report positive relationships with peers 93% of students report feeling safe in their classroom 84% of elementary students report that teachers/grown-ups tell them when they do a good job 93% of elementary students report that teachers/adults at their school believe they can do a good job California Healthy Kids Survey 2019-20 results for Cove and Neil Cummins, respectively 93%, 86% report feeling connected to their			to update the facilities master plan. A committee will be formed and facilitated by LCMSD CBO, Director of Facilities, and possibly a consultant. Continue expanding opportunities such as DELAC Leadership, ELCCs, and parent coffee chats. Examine lunch, recess, and social safety practices, as well as student survey data, to make informed action steps for identified gap areas. Grade level teams/departments will continue identifying essential standards for each reporting period, refining/developing rubrics and tools, and calibrating grading practices. At a minimum, campus safety practices, emergency response protocols, and school climate/culture will be assessed with best practices and updated as needed.		
	school 92%, 83% report					

		Identified Need and Metric Description of Subgoal	Applicable Pupil Subgroup(s)	What will be different / improved for students?		Annual
Related State Priorities				Actions & Services	"Description of expenditures and funding source, if any"	update: Analysis of Progress
	support for social emotional learning 98%, 98% report positive behaviors for self 95%, 86% feeling safe at school Ability to fill 100% of designated Personal Protective Equipment (PPE) and Safety requests.					